## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2004/016409 19.05.2004 19.05.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01L51/30, H01L51/52, H01B1/12, C08G61/12, C08G73/02, C08K3/10 E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office

D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465.

**Authorized Officer** 

Russell, G

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8738



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion	<u> </u>							
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applicat the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>									
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purp (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	original language into the following poses of international search							
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the	e international application and basis of:							
	a. type of material:								
	☐ a sequence listing								
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing								
	b. format of material:								
	☐ in written format								
	☐ in computer readable form								
	c. time of filing/furnishing:								
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.								
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable	form.							
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.								
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyon appropriate, were furnished.	in the subsequent or additional:							
4	Additional comments:								

Вс	x No. II	Priority				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1. 🖾	The fo	lowing document has	not bee	n furnishe	ed:						
	$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier ap	plication	n whose ;	oriority has	s been cla	imed (Rul	e 43 <i>bis</i> .1	and 66.7	(a)).	
		translation of the earl	ier appl	ication wl	hose prior	ity has be	en claime	d (Rule 4	3 <i>bis</i> .1 and	d 66.7(b)).	
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.										
2. 🗆	has be	oinion has been establ en found invalid (Rule ate indicated above is	s 43 <i>bis</i> .	1 and 64	.1). Thus f	or the pur					
3. Ad	Iditional o	bservations, if necess	ary:						*		
										,	
inc	ox No. V dustrial a atement	Reasoned stateme applicability; citation							nventive :	step or	
No	ovelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-19						
Inv	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-19						
Inc	dustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-19						
2 Cit	tations ar	nd explanations			۰٬۰۰۰ ب						
		ite sheet	-		•						
36	e sepaie				-	•					
	,		•		·	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
Bc	x No. VI	II Certain observati	ons on	the inte	rnational	application	on			·	
		observations on the cla supported by the des				on, and d	rawings o	r on the c	luestion w	hether the	

see separate sheet

### Re. Item V:

- 1. Relevant cited prior art:
  - D1: US-A-2002/179900
  - D2: US-A-2001/022497
  - D3: Elschner A. et al.: "High-resistivity PEDT/PSS for Reduced Crosstalk in Passive Matrix OELs" ASIA DISPLAY / IDW'01. Proceedings of the 21st International Display Research Conference in Conjuction with the 8th International Display Workshops. Nagoya, Japan, Oct. 16 19, 2001, International Display Research Conference. Idrc, San Jose, CA: SI, vol. CONF. 21 / 8, 16 October 2001 (2001-10-16), pages 1427-1430.
  - D4: Elschner A. et al.: "PEDT/PSS for Efficient Hole-injection in Hybrid Organic Light-emitting Diodes" Synthetic Metals, Elsevier Sequoia, Lausanne, CH, vol. 111/112, 1 June 2000 (2000-06-01), pages 139-143, ISSN: 0379-6779
  - D5: US-A-5 578 249 D6: US 2001/044492 D7: US-A-2003/087991
- 2. Novelty and inventive step
- 2.1 According to the description of the current specification (pg. 22, Table 3) and information available from the supplier, the commercially available product Baytron P® (both Al4083 and CH8000 products) employed is an aqueous composition of a doped thiophene polymer [PEDT/PSS] already containing a Group 1 metal cation, namely Na® in a maximum amount of 400ppm (0.4 mmoles per gram dried polymer). Thus, compositions of Baytron P® containing additional solvent are novelty-destroying for at least claim 1.
- D2 describes Baytron P® Al4083 used in a coating composition used in an EL device comprising isopropyl alcohol co-solvent (Example D-1-1; [0237-0241]).
  D4 (pg. 140; Fig. 1) similarly describes the preparation of a hole-injecting layer of a LED device.
  D6 relates to coating composition comprising Baytron P® aqueous dispersion and describes various composition thereof in various solvents (Tables 1 to 3).
  Thus, a compositions comprising doped conductive polymer, Group 1 metal cation and co-solvent are disclosed in D2, D4, and D6.
- 2.3 D5 discloses a process for the production electrically conductive doped polyaniline by casting a film from solution containing imino-p-phenylene polymer and protonic

acid salt, then treating with a solution containing protonic acid and oxidiser (claim 1). Examples 3, 7, and 9 describe coating compositions comprising said doped polyaniline in aqueous solution in the presence of co-solvent (NMP; DMSO) and a sodium salt yielding sodium cations.

D7 refers to ink receptive film for coating substrates. Example I describes a latex formulation comprising water, NMP co-solvent, a sodium salt and Baytron P<sup>®</sup> ([0055]).

- 2.4 According to Example 2 (page 23) of the application, the co-solvent is removed completely, such that the buffer layers and devices of claims 17 and 18 of the specification are co-solvent-free.
  - Thus, the disclosures of D1-D3, and D4 are considered to take away the novelty of said claims 17 and 18.
- 2.5 Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 1 to 19 appear to be lacking in novelty according to Article 33(2) PCT and inventive step under Article 33(3) PCT in view of the prior disclosures D1 to D7.
- 2.6 D1, relating to an OLED which comprises a conductive transparent polymer layer having preset low sulfate ion and high metal ion content to improve the lifetime and anode shrinkage and efficiency, can be considered to represent the closest prior art (see Table 1; [0006-0011]; Fig. 2; Examples).
  Example 1 describes the formation of a hole-injecting electrode made up of

Baytron P® on an ITO layer by spin coating. The difference of the application appears to be the explicitly mentioned presence of solvent, although the use of solvents in the spin coating process are conventional (refer to [0005] of D1). D3 (pg. 1430) relates drying conditions to the bulk conductivity of conductive films containing Baytron P® in EL devices, such that any advantage associated with presence of co-solvent in the aqueous dispersion prior to spin coating is anticipated. Hence, the application does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of a combination of D1 and D3.

### Re. Item VIII:

 The use of the term "about" to delimit ranges of physical values in both description and claims renders said ranges unclear, and should be deleted (Article 6 PCT).
 In addition, the last passage on page 27 seems irrelevant.